

Appl. No. 09/736,345
Amdt. Dated Feb. 17, 2006
Reply to Interview Summary of Feb. 2, 2006

REMARKS

Claims 12-55 are pending. In the Office Action dated Feb. 2, 2006, an Interview Summary was provided including attachments. Applicant wishes to ensure compliance with MPEP 713.04 and provide the following Substance of Interview. A complete listing of claims is included herein which includes the claims exactly as filed in the After-Final Office Response dated Jan 30, 2006 (Amendment F) which has not yet been examined or entered.

Substance of Interview (MPEP713.04)


As noted in MPEP 713.04, the Applicant is required to make the Substance of the Interview of record in the application file. Applicant did file an After-Final Response on Jan. 30, 2006 which included a section entitled 'Interview Summary', however Applicant wishes to ensure compliance with MPEP 713.04 having received the Examiner's Interview Summary (PTOL-413) after the Applicant's filing of the After-Final Response. Applicant wishes to note the following:

- 1) A telephonic interview was conducted on Jan. 26, 2006 between Attorney Asmus, Inventor/Applicant Jacques Nault and Examiner James A. Kramer.
- 2) An MS-DOS demonstration program was sent to the Examiner and the parties stepped through various menus to show some of the functionality.
- 3) Peachtree reference was discussed in relation to the demonstration.
- 4) The claims were generally discussed with Claim 12 being used as an illustrative claim.
- 5) No agreement was reached however the After Final Response was filed on Jan.30, 2006 for reconsideration containing amendments and supporting arguments that more clearly articulate the essence of the Telephone Interview.
- 6) The Examiner included the Informal Draft with the Interview Summary and Applicant wishes to note that this Informal Draft is not to be construed or used to limit the present invention and the Office should rely upon the After-Final Response filed Jan. 30, 2006.

Appl. No. 09/736,345
Amdt. Dated Feb. 17, 2006
Reply to Interview Summary of Feb. 2, 2006

Applicant believes the above Summary to be fully responsive to the requirements.
Applicant requests that the Examiner contact its attorney by telephone, facsimile, or
email for quickest resolution, if there are any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott J. Asmus, Reg. No. 42,269
Vernon C. Maine, Reg. No. 37,389
Andrew P. Cernota, Reg. No. 52,711
Kristina M. Grasso, Reg. No. 39,205
Attorneys for Applicant

Cus. No. 24222
Maine & Asmus
PO Box 3445
Nashua, NH 03061-3445
Tel. No. (603) 886-6100
Fax. No. (603) 886-4796
patents@maineandasmus.com